Novel Vancomycin Dimers with Activity against Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci

Uma N. Sundram and John H. Griffin*

Department of Chemistry, Stanford University Stanford, California 94305-5080

Thalia I. Nicas

Infectious Disease Research Lilly Research Laboratories, Lilly Corporate Center Indianapolis, Indiana 46285

Received June 24, 1996

Vancomycin typifies the glycopeptide family of antibacterial agents, which exhibit an unusual, receptor-like mode of action: by binding with high affinity and specificity to the C-terminal L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine portion of peptidoglycan precursors, vancomycin prevents their incorporation into the polymeric bacterial cell wall.¹ Williams et al. have detailed a second molecular recognition function of glycopeptides, that of selfassociation into homodimers. They have demonstrated that glycopeptide dimerization can be highly favorable and cooperative with the binding of peptide ligands.² They have also provided evidence that self-association can lead to enhancements in in vitro antibacterial potency,3 consistent with a model in which dimerization increases the intrinsic affinity of glycopeptides for their peptidoglycan precursor targets, and increases the overall avidity of interaction between self-associated, ditopic glycopeptide receptors and polyvalent ligands presented by the bacterial cell.^{3,4} Interestingly, vancomycin self-associates in solution only weakly ($K_{\text{dim}} = 700 \text{ M}^{-1}$), suggesting that dimerization may not play a significant role in the biological action of this clinically important agent. This prompted us to examine the effects that covalent dimerization would have on the molecular recognition and antibacterial properties of vancomycin. We now report the synthesis of a series of novel bis-(vancomycin)carboxamides and the discovery that some of these compounds exhibit promising in vitro activity against vancomycin-resistant enterococci and selectively enhanced affinity for depsipeptide ligands mimicking peptidoglycan precursors from these organisms.

Bis(vancomycin)carboxamides 1a-d (Figure 1) were prepared by HBTU-mediated coupling of vancomycin (2.2 equiv) with 1,6-diaminohexane, cystamine, homocystamine, and triethylenetetramine, respectively.⁵ The dimers were isolated in 44-68% yield by reversed-phase HPLC and characterized by high-field ¹H NMR spectroscopy and liquid secondary ion (LSI) or electrospray (ES) mass spectrometry. As controls, the monomeric adducts of vancomycin with cystamine (2b) and triethylenetetramine (2d) were prepared by use of excess amine.

The *in vitro* antibacterial properties of vancomycin, **1a**-**d**, 2b, and 2d were determined by broth microdilution assays. It was found that both monomeric and dimeric vancomvcin carboxamide derivatives retained activity against vancomycinsusceptible Gram-positive organisms, including staphylococci and enterococci (Table 1). Strikingly, dimers 1a-c display substantially enhanced in vitro potency against strains of

A.; Williams, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4573-4580. (b) Gerhard,

U.; Mackay, J. P.; Maplestone, R. A.; Williams, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 232–237. (c) Waltho, J. P.; Williams, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2475-2480.



Figure 1. Bis(vancomycin)carboxamides 1a-d.

Table 1. In Vitro Antibacterial Properties and Ligand-Binding Affinities of Monomeric and Dimeric Vancomycin Carboxamide Derivatives

	MIC $(\mu M)^a$				
	S. aureus	Enterococcus	VRE	$K_{\rm d}{}^b (\mu { m M})$	
cmpd	$(mean)^c$	$(\text{mean})^d$	$(mean)^e$	Ac ₂ KDADLac	Ac ₂ KDADA
Van	0.30	1.0	690	1800 ± 65	1.1 ± 0.4
1a	2.0	0.51	32	180 ± 20	1.5 ± 0.1
1b	0.48	0.50	11	440 ± 70	1.9 ± 0.8
1c	3.2	0.29	15	680 ± 160	3.9 ± 0.6
1d	0.46	2.9	>69	1160 ± 380	2.9 ± 0.4
2b	0.08	1.4	>67	2600 ± 120	4.3 ± 0.1
2d	0.40	2.8	>60	3630 ± 230	8.4 ± 0.9

^a Minimum concentration of derivative required to inhibit growth of bacterial cells in cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton broth. For 1a-d, MIC values refer to the concentrations of individual vancomycin subunits. ^b Dissociation constants determined by competitive titration with AcK(F)DADA in 10 mM HEPES, 6 mM NaCl, pH 7.0, buffer. For 1a-d, K_d values refer to the noncooperative association of individual vancomycin subunits to the ligands. ^c Average MIC values for 10 vancomycin-susceptible strains of Staphylococcus aureus. ^d Average MIC values for 4 vancomycin-susceptible strains of Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis. e Average MIC values for 4 strains of *E. faecium* and *E. faecalis* exhibiting high-level resistance to vancomycin (VanA genotype confirmed by PCR).

enterococci which exhibit high-level resistance to vancomycin and other glycopeptides (vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE, VanA phenotype).⁶ The average minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) displayed by bis(vancomycin)cystamide (1b) against VRE (19 μ g/mL, 11 μ M in vancomycin subunits) is more than a factor of 60 lower than that displayed by vancomycin against these strains and approximately 10-fold higher than the MICs displayed by vancomycin against susceptible enterococci. Dimerization appears to be required for significant activity against VRE, since 2b and 2d did not inhibit

^{(1) (}a) Perkins, H. R.; Nieto, M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1973, 35, 371-381. (b) Reynolds, P. E. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 1989, 8, 789-803. (2) (a) Mackay, J. P.; Gerhard, U.; Beauregard, D. A.; Maplestone, R.

⁽³⁾ Beauregard, D. A.; Williams, D. H.; Gwynn, M. N.; Knowles, D. J.
C. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1995, 39, 781–785.
(4) Mackay, J. P.; Gerhard, U.; Beauregard, D. A.; Westwell, M. S.;

Searle, M. S.; Williams, D. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4581-4590. (5) Sundram, U. N.; Griffin, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1102-1103.

^{(6) (}a) Leclerq, R. E.; Derlot, R. E.; Duval, J.; Courvalin, P. N. Engl. J. Med. **1988**, 319, 157–161. (b) Uttley, A. H. C.; Collins, C. H.; Naidoo, J.; George, R. C. Lancet **1988**, 1, 57–58. (c) Courvalin, P. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1990, 34, 2291-2296.



Figure Ligands $N^{\alpha}, N^{\prime \epsilon}$ -diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine 2. (Ac₂KDADA), N^{α} , $N^{\prime\epsilon}$ -diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-lactate (Ac₂KDADLac), and N^{α} -acetyl- N'^{ϵ} -fluoresceinyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine (AcK(F)-DADA).

the growth of these organisms at the highest concentrations examined.⁷ The level of activity displayed by 1a-d against VRE varies with the structure of the linker. Dimers bearing disulfide linkages (1b and 1c) exhibited the greatest potency, but the disulfide moiety is not requisite since bis(vancomycin)hexamide (1a) is also active. Dimer 1d did not inhibit the growth of VRE at 128 μ g/mL (69 μ M in vancomycin subunits). It may be of some relevance that, while **1a**-**c** were more potent than vancomycin toward vancomycin-susceptible enterococci, 1d was less potent toward these organisms.

To probe the basis for the observed antibacterial activities, we determined and compared the affinities of vancomycin and the monomeric and dimeric carboxamide derivatives for $N^{\alpha}, N'^{\epsilon}$ diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine (Ac₂KDADA) and N^{α}, N^{κ} diacetyl-L-lysyl-D-alanyl-D-lactate (Ac2KDADLac, Figure 2). These ligands mimic the C-terminal segments of peptidoglycan precursors from vancomycin-susceptible Gram-positive organisms and vancomycin-resistant enterococci, respectively.⁸ Dissociation constants were determined by fluorescencebased competition binding assays in which Ac₂KDADA and Ac₂KDADLac compete with binding of N^{α} -acetyl- $N^{\prime\epsilon}$ -fluoresceinyl-L-lysyl-D-alanine (AcK(F)DADA).^{9,10} Representative data for 1b are shown in Figure 3. The data for bis(vancomycin)carboxamides were well fit by a model in which ligand binding to the two receptor sites was noncooperative, affording the dissociation constants collected in Table 1. Relative to vancomycin, **1a-d** bind with *reduced* affinity to the peptide Ac₂KDADA but with *increased* affinity to the depsipeptide Ac₂KDADLac. The monomeric adducts 2b and 2d bind less well to both Ac2KDADA and Ac2KDADLac. These results are consistent with the observation that 1a-c but not 2b or 2d exhibit enhanced in vitro antibacterial potency against VRE. Dimer 1d, which displays no activity against VRE at 69 μ M, displays only a slight increase in affinity for Ac₂KDADLac.

The observed increases in affinity for Ac2KDADLac do not quantitatively account for the antibacterial activity of **1a-c**, since the measured dissociation constants for this ligand are 5.6- to 45-fold greater than the MIC values. This suggests that either 1a-c bind more tightly to the full-length depsipeptidecontaining peptidoglycan precursors found in resistant entero-



Figure 3. Fluorescence titration of AcK(F)DADA ($0.010-0.050 \mu M$) with 1b in the absence of competitor ligand and in the presence of competitors Ac₂KDADA (300 μ M) and Ac₂KDADLac (4000 μ M). Titrations were carried out at 25 °C in 10 mM HEPES, 6 mM NaCl, pH 7.0.

cocci than to Ac2KDADLac or that additional effects contribute to the antibacterial potency of these compounds. One such effect could derive from divalent interactions between bis-(vancomycin)carboxamides and peptidoglycan precursors. However, the divalent nature of 1a-c does not appear to provide a substantial advantage against vancomycin-susceptible organisms. Dimers 1a-c are joined in head-to-head fashion by flexible tethers that are too short to allow interactions between the vancomycin subunits in the back-to-back, head-to-tail orientation observed with self-associated glycopeptides.^{2,11} Therefore, bis-(vancomycin)carboxamides and self-associated glycopeptides may engage in different types of divalent interactions with ligands presented by the bacterial cell.

Previous work at Lilly has shown that N-alkylation of glycopeptide amino sugar residues can increase antibacterial potency against both vancomycin-susceptible and -resistant strains.¹² In covalent dimerization, we have discovered a different semisynthetic modification which can increase affinity for depsipeptide ligands and return significant in vitro potency against VRE. These effects warrant further study given the gravity of the emerging antibiotic resistance problem,¹³ which includes the anticipated spread of high-level vancomycin resistance from enterococci to staphylococci.¹⁴

Acknowledgment. This work was supported in part by the National Institutes of Health (1R01GM50122). We thank Ms. Constance Yeung for providing AcK(F)DADA, Dr. Jinho Lee for assistance in developing the binding assays, Ms. Deborah Mullen for carrying out the in vitro activity assays, Professor Harden McConnell for access to the spectrofluorimeter, and Professor Rex F. Pratt (Wesleyan University) for helpful discussions related to the binding studies. LSI-MS data were acquired by the UCSF Mass Spectrometry Facility (A. L. Burlingame, Director), supported by the Biomedical Research Technology Program of the NIH NCRR. ES-MS data were acquired by the UC-Riverside Mass Spectrometry Facility.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for the preparation of mono- and dimeric vancomycin derivatives, ¹H NMR and MS data for compounds 1a-d and 2b,d, and methods for the determination of antibacterial activities and binding constants (5 pages). See any current masthead page for ordering and Internet access instructions.

JA9621298

⁽⁷⁾ We have observed that vancomycin-cysteamide (obtained by reduction of 1b or 2b with dithiothreitol) exhibits activity against VRE (mean MIC = 91 μ g/mL). However, we believe that this activity is due to *in situ* oxidation of vancomycin-cysteamide to 1b, which occurs with a half-life of less than 24 h.

⁽⁸⁾ See: Walsh, C. T.; Fisher, S. L.; Park, I.-S.; Zhu, W. Chem. Biol. 1996, 3, 21-28.

⁽⁹⁾ Popieniek, P. H.; Pratt, R. F. Anal. Biochem. 1987, 165, 108-113. (10) AcK(F)DADA was prepared by a method analogous to that described for the synthesis of the isomer FK(Ac)DADA (Shi, Z.; Griffin, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6482-6486).

⁽¹¹⁾ Sheldrick, G. M.; Paulus, E.; Vertesy, L.; Hahn, F. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Sci. 1995, 51, 89-98.

^{(12) (}a) Nagarajan, R.; Schabel, A. A.; Occolowitz, J. L.; Counter, F. T.; Ott, J. L.; Felty-Duckworth, A. M. *J. Antibiotics* **1989**, *42*, 63–72. (b) Nicas, T. I.; Cole, C. T.; Preston, D. A.; Schabel, A. A.; Nagarajan, R. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1989, 33, 1477-1481. (c) Nicas. T. I.; Mullen, D. L.; Flokowitsch, J. E.; Preston, D. A.; Snyder, N. J.; Stratford, R. E.; Cooper, R. D. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1995, 39, 2585–2587.
 (13) Neu, H. C. Science 1992, 257, 1064–1073.
 (14) Noble, W. C.; Virani, Z.; Cree, R. G. A. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.

^{1992, 93, 195-198.}